COURSE EVALUATION REPORT

Type	Evaluation of implemented SWARM course
Institution	Džemal Bijedić University of Mostar, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina
Course title	Water protection
ECTS	5
Reporting date	16.03.2022.
Report author(s)	Merima Šahinagić-Isović, Mili Selimotić, Marko Ćećez, Merima Salčin

University of Nis

Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

SWARM COURSE DESCRIPTION

with special reference to learning outcomes

Course description:
Aim of the course: Introducing a student with the basics of pollution of water and environment: problem of wastewater and pollution of environment, protection of water and environment: integral concept of protection of water and environment, procedure of pollution control: minimization of waste Learning outcomes: After studying the course, the student is expected to be able to describe and explain the problems of water and environmental protection; the basic ecological characteristics of water and environment, sources and types of pollution, the impact of pollution on water and environment conditions, measures and activities in the protection of water and the environment and participate in planning and solving problems in water and environment protection.

Problems encountered during the realization of course

Please add your comments, if any:

Evaluation details

Results of general evaluation of implemented course

| Description |
| --- |
| Students evaluated implemented course as strongly agree or agree with average grade from 4.43 to 4.86. The average grade for the whole implemented course is 4.69. |
| **Table/Figure** |

General evaluation of implemented course

Grading	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
How do you judge the ECTS of the course in comparison with the respective work load?	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7
In this course, are students encouraged to participate actively in class (e.g., through group work, as well as self-regulated, problem-oriented learning)?	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1
In this course, is the infrastructure (size and condition of the room, technical equipment) is very good?	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9
Are the subjects dealt with in this course often discussed in relationship to practical examples (relevance to practice)?	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4
Overall, this course fosters very strongly my interest in this subject	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7

General evaluation of implemented course

Overall, this course fosters very strongly my interest in this subject	4.86
Are the subjects dealt with in this course often discussed in relationship to practical examples (relevance to practice)?	4.71
In this course, is the infrastructure (size and condition of the room, technical equipment) is very good?	4.43
In this course, are students encouraged to participate actively in class (e.g., through group work, as well as self-regulated,…	4.57
How do you judge the ECTS of the course in comparison with the respective work load?	4.86

4.00   4.20   4.40   4.60   4.80   5.00

Results of evaluation of teaching staff

| Description |
|---|
| Students evaluated teaching staff with average grade from 4.43 to 4.71. The average grade for the teaching staff is 4.61. |
| Table/Figure |

Evaluation of teaching staff

Grading	No	Slightly	Moderate	Yes	Very much	I do not know/ I don't answer
Does the teacher make complex theory understandable?	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0
Does the teaching staff properly organize the presentation of the course material?	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0
Does the lecturer encourage the students to ask questions and comment in a critical way?	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0
Is the teaching staff accessible to the students?	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0

Evaluation of teaching staff

Question	Grade
Is the teaching staff accessible to the students?	4.71
Does the lecturer encourage the students to ask questions and comment in a critical way?	4.71
Does the teaching staff properly organize the presentation of the course material?	4.57
Does the teacher make complex theory understandable?	4.43

Results of evaluation of summarized questions

Description
Overall opinion of the course and teaching staff has the avearge grade between 4.57 and 4.86.
Table/Figure

Evaluation of summarized questions						
Grading	Bad	Not good	Moderate	Good	Very good	I do not know/ I don't answer
Overall, which is your opinion about the course?	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0
Overall, which is your opinion for the teaching staff?	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0

Evaluation of summarized questions

Overall, which is your opinion for the teaching staff? — 4.86

Overall, which is your opinion about the course? — 4.57

4.00   4.20   4.40   4.60   4.80   5.00

Additional comments

What I especially like about the course?

Please add your comments, if any:

What could be improved in the course?

Please add your comments, if any:

Comments regarding the questions/the evaluation

Please add your comments, if any:

Please indicate your suggestions for further improvement:

No further suggestions.